

## **Executive Committee Minutes**

**June 2, 2010**

**No quorum**

### **Attending:**

Reza

Ravi

Jay

Shelton

Ciardo

Rod

Mark Matsumoto (phone)

### **1. Call to Order**

### **2. Approvals**

- A. No approval of minutes

### **3. Announcements**

- A. Dean
- B. Associate Deans
  - i. Commencement
  - ii. Planning for increased enrollments
    - 1. Engr 001 and ME 2
    - 2. Campus is developing a plan for increased enrollments and how to manage registration to ensure that all students can be minimally full time
- C. Chair
  - i. No announcements

### **4. New/Continued Business**

- A. Graduate Courses Proposals Review Process
  - i. Morris Madiro- (Biol?) needs feedback on changes to course approvals to graduate courses
  - ii. Shared current proposal (include)
  - iii. Would like to revise proposal to be as (include)
  - iv. Generally BCOE supports moving in this new direction for course approvals
- B. Strategic Plan Draft II
  - i. Transparency-not clear what the cost will be (Shelton)

- ii. Advisory committee—not clear of role, responsibilities, and powers will be. Should they only give advice? Do they have the ability to provide oversight? Is that past the role of advisory? There was concern as to which level will be responsible for implementation—is it the Dean of each college? This is not clear. Recommendation is to have the colleges be responsible for the own strategic goals and they report to EVC on the strategic goals. There was concern about the purpose and real need for the advisory committee. Recommendation instead is to maybe have the EVC consult a group for the specific area for which the EVC has questions. Exec is not in support of the creation of this committee. Why not rather ask someone to provide benchmarks and metrics.
  - iii. Clarify who appoints and how removed (should be EVC only)
  - iv. No delineation of role and powers (no powers other than those appointed by EVC)
  - v. No encroachment of EVC responsibility
  - vi. How long is the committee
  - vii. “seed money” should be not be mentioned in proposal
  - viii. Jay will craft an updated response
  - ix. (Ciardo) concern about recruiting our own students. We should encourage graduate student diverse educational opportunities
- C. Electronic Vote on Bylaw Changes
  - i. Passed w/ only one vote against
  - ii. Approved by rules and jurisdiction, did not need to go to Riverside Division
- D. Electronic vote on BS/MS Program Changes
- E. Changes to Courses
  - i. Votes via email
  - ii. ENGR 60- cross listed w/ ECON. Already approved by CHASS Exec.
  - iii. New lower division courses. Cross listed w/ ART. CS 66 and 67 will not fulfill requirements in CS degree. Will not fulfill TEs. More of a service course.
  - iv. CS 111- CS 181—editorial change only. Removal of Math 111
  - v. CS 133- change listing of instructor to Dr. Shelton
  - vi. Shelton will meet w/ me to have the faculty names changes to Chair of Department for the CS courses that are incorrectly listed
- F. Program Changes
  - i. Votes via email
  - ii. Removal of Math 111
- G. Change to Regulation 6 (added at meeting)
  - i. Will vote electronically

## 5. Adjournment

Today's meeting we did not have quorum to vote but we need email votes by 5pm tonight on the following issues:

1. Agenda item Ei-Eii-new courses (CS 66 and 67) cross listed with ART. Not technical electives and cannot be used to fulfill CS program or minor requirements.
2. Agenda item Eiii-Evi- editorial changes to the pre-requisites from CS/Math 111 to CS 111. Math department will no longer cross list course with CS department.
3. Agenda item Exvii- BCOE proposed this course as cross listed with Economics department. Ex Comm sought approval from Econ. BCOE needs to move forward on approval. See meeting agenda for details.
4. Agenda Fi-Fiv- changes to programs are similar to course changes above. CS/Math 111 to CS 111.